

John Williams Thomas Pinnow administrator of what his wife who was Williams
Isabel Pinnow who was. Williams Deborah Pinnow who was. William Braggins
Wm Henry Wm Hubbard & Pinnow and Isabel his wife who was Wm
Pinnow & Thomas and Elizabeth his wife who was Wm Elizabeth Woodard
who was Wm Henry Wood who was Charles Francis & Charles and John
Charles infant under the age of twenty two years who are by Henry Reed
then Just first

against
Spicer Williams in his own right and as administrator of
Caroline & Williams and William Andrew administrator of
Wm Andrew and Henry Harris and Nathaniel Pinnow
It appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that two months have elapsed
since the service of the Subpoena in this case on the defendants Fard B Harris
administrator of Henry Harris and Francis J Ridley George B Cory Thomas
Ridley Peter D Buchanan John W Doughty who still fail to appear and
answer On Motion of the plaintiff the bill is taken for confessed as to their
defendants and the cause came on to be heard on the bill answered bill
answers of the other defendants replication thereto exhibit and was argued by
Counsel On Consideration whereof the Court without entering any of the principles
of this case did acquiesce over and docket that Spicer Williams under before
C Commissioner of this Court an account of his transactions as executor of
Caroline & Williams also an account as her administrator also that William
P Doughty had in the debt filed in this cause dated the 1st day of January
1844 under an account of his transactions as executor and that said Commis-
sioner report said accounts to Court with any matters specially stated claimed
pursuant by himself or required by order of the parties to be so stated and as
to all other matters the cause is continued until the next term

William Shortcut and Robert his wife
against
James D Kapenberg Robert Riches Alton Edwards and Joseph
P Briggs and Schuch Briggs administrators of William Briggs and
On Motion of the plaintiff by Counsel leave is granted them to amend their
bill and the cause is remanded to the Rules for that purpose

Edwin James and Thomas James Merchants and partners trading under the firm
and style of Edwin James & Co and also as Survivors of themselves and Joseph
P James and Merchants and partners trading under the firm and style of Edwin
James & Co

against
William D Cutler and Portuguese Cutler
This day the Court came on to be heard on the bill answers of the defendants
replication thereto exhibit with the exhibit referred to and examination of Debit
and was argued by Counsel On Consideration whereof the Court being of opinion
that the debt described in the bill recited by William D Cutler to Portuguese
Cutler bearing date the 19th September 1842 and recorded in the Clerk's
Office of the County Court of Southampton County is fraudulent and void as to the
creditors of the said William Cutler did order adjudge and docket that said